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#### Abstract

The shock adiabatic curve of quasi-transverse shock waves in a slightly anisotropic elastic medium is considered. For waves whose intensity is not too high, the elastic potential of the medium $\Phi$ is represented by an expansion in series in the deformations and the change in entropy. Shock waves, when only the principal terms remain in the expansion of $\Phi$, which reveal the effects of non-linearity and anisotropy, have been investigated in detail in previous publications, but the effect of a change in entropy on the elastic properties of the medium was not taken into account. Below, these results are taken as the zeroth approximation and, using the method of linearization about the zeroth approximation, corrections due to succeeding terms of the expansion of $\Phi$ are obtained. A model of a slightly non-linear elastic medium is considered in detail when, in addition terms in the form of cross products of the deformations and the entropy and the square of the change in entropy are taken into account in the expansion of $\Phi$. For such a medium, the changes in the form of the shock adiabatic curve and the position of the evolution parts in it, due to considering the effect of a jump in entropy in the shock wave, are obtained in explicit form. © 2003 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.


## 1. INITIAL RELATIONS

The zeroth approximation. The relations on shock waves in elastic media have the form [1]

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\partial \Phi / \partial u_{i}\right]=\rho_{0} W^{2}\left[u_{i}\right], \quad i=1,2,3}  \tag{1.1}\\
& {[\Phi]=-1 / 2\left[\partial \Phi / \partial u_{i}\right]\left[u_{i}\right]+\left(\partial \Phi / \partial u_{i}\right)^{+}\left[u_{i}\right]}  \tag{1.2}\\
& {\left[v_{i}\right]+W\left[u_{i}\right]=0} \tag{1.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Here $u_{i}=\partial w_{i} / \partial x, v_{i}=\partial w_{i} / \partial t, \partial w_{i} / \partial t, w_{i}$ is the displacement vector of points of the medium, $\partial \Phi / \partial u_{i}$ are the components of the Piola-Kirchhoff stress tensor, and $x$ is the Lagrange coordinate, for which we take the Cartesian coordinate normal to the front in the initial state, while the $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ axcs lic in the plane of the wave front. Here and henceforth summation over repeated subscripts is assumed. The quantities $u_{i}$ characterize the deformation of the medium for one-dimensional motions and, together with the components of the velocity $v_{i}$, experience a discontinuity on the shock wave front, $\rho_{0}$ is the density of the medium in front of the shock wave, $\Phi=\rho_{0} U\left(u_{i}, S\right)$ is the elastic potential of the medium, i.e. the intcrnal cncrgy (in the actual state), referred to unity of the initial volume (before the passage of the shock wave), $U\left(u_{i}, S\right)$ is the internal energy per unit mass, $S$ is the entropy of unit mass of the medium, and $W$ is the Lagrangian propagation velocity of the shock wave $W=d x / d t$. The square brackets, as usual, denote jumps in the quantity enclosed with them: $[A]=A^{+}-A^{-}$, where $A^{-}$is the value in front of the discontinuity and $A^{+}=A$ is the value after the discontinuity.

The following approximate expression was used in [2] as the elastic potential $\Phi\left(u_{i}, S\right)$ when describing quasi-transverse waves of small amplitude in slightly anisotropic media

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi^{0}\left(u_{i}, S\right)=\frac{f}{2} r^{2}+\frac{d}{2} u_{3}^{2}+b r^{2} u_{3}+\frac{h}{4} r^{4}+\frac{g}{2}\left(u_{2}^{2}-u_{1}^{2}\right)+\rho_{0} T_{0}\left(S-S_{0}\right), \quad r^{2}=u_{1}^{2}+u_{2}^{2} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $f, g, d, b$ and $h$ are constant coefficients of the expansion, which have the meaning of the elasticity constants of the medium, and it is assumed that the coefficient $g$, corresponding to the anisotropy, is
much less than the other coefficients (if we assume that the values of the deformations and their changes are of the order of $\varepsilon$, the effects of the anisotropy and non-linearity on the stress will be of the same order, if $g \sim \varepsilon^{2}$ ). The quantities $T_{0}=T^{-}, S^{0}=S^{-}$and $\rho_{0}=\rho^{-}$correspond to the state before the discontinuity. In expression (1.4) only terms of higher order than the second remain; these are important for describing the principal non-linear effects in quasi-transverse shock waves. There are no terms here of higher order infinitesimals, in particular, terms containing the product of the change in entropy by some function of $u_{i}$, responsible for the effect of a change in entropy on the mechanical properties of the medium. There are also no anisotropic terms containing $u_{i}$ at higher powers than the second (a consequence of the assumed smallness of the anisotropy) and no terms containing $u_{1}^{2}+u_{2}^{2}$ in powers higher than the second (due to the assumed weak non-linearity).

We will call the relations on the discontinuity (1.1) with elastic potential $\Phi=\Phi^{0}$ and consequences of them the zeroth approximation. In particular, in this approximation we have the following equality for quasi-transverse waves for all $u_{i}$ and $u_{2}[2,3]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[u_{3}\right]=-\frac{b}{d-f}\left[r^{2}\right] \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

It enables us to eliminate $u_{3}$ from the first two relations of (1.1) and to consider them separately, assuming that $\Phi^{0}$ is a function of $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$. Here, Eqs (1.1)-(1.3) retain their form, except that we must put $i=1,2$ and the function $\Phi^{0}$ must replaced by

$$
\begin{equation*}
H\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, S\right)=\frac{f}{2} r^{2}+\frac{g}{2}\left(u_{2}^{2}-u_{1}^{2}\right)-\frac{\kappa}{4} r^{4}+\rho_{0} T_{0}\left(S-S_{0}\right), \quad \kappa=\frac{2 b^{2}}{d-f}-h \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The shock adiabatic curve has been obtained and investigated in this zeroth approximation in [2, 3]. Its projection onto the ( $u_{1}, u_{2}$ ) plane has the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathscr{F}_{0}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) \equiv\left(r^{2}-R^{2}\right)\left(U_{1} u_{2}-U_{2} u_{1}\right)+2 \frac{g}{\kappa}\left(u_{1}-U_{1}\right)\left(u_{2}-U_{2}\right)=0  \tag{1.7}\\
& U_{\alpha}=u_{\alpha}^{-}, \quad R^{2}=U_{1}^{2}+U_{2}^{2}, \quad \alpha=1,2
\end{align*}
$$

Expressions have been obtained in the same approximation for the change in entropy in quasitransverse shock waves and for the velocity of the shock wave

$$
\begin{equation*}
[S]^{0}=-\frac{\kappa}{4 \rho_{0} T_{0}}\left(r^{2}-R^{2}\right) \tilde{u}^{2}, \quad \rho_{0} W_{0}^{2}-f+D_{0}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)=0 \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D_{0}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)=-\kappa\left\{r^{2}-R^{2}+U_{1} u_{1}+U_{2} u_{2}+\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{\tilde{u}^{2}}\left(\frac{g}{\kappa}\left(\left(u_{1}-U_{1}\right)^{2}-\left(u_{2}-U_{2}\right)^{2}\right)+2\left(U_{1}\left(u_{1}-U_{1}\right)+U_{2}\left(u_{2}-U_{2}\right)\right)^{2}\right)\right\} \\
& \tilde{u}^{2}=\left(\left(u_{1}-U_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(u_{2}-U_{2}\right)\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

We must substitute into these expressions values of $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ which satisfy equality (1.7). The quantity $[S]^{0}$ turned out to be a fourth-order infinitesimal (in $\varepsilon$ ), and hence in the zeroth approximation its effect on the properties of the shock adiabatic curve was ignored. This enabled us to consider the first group of relations on the discontinuity (1.1) independently of the energy equation (1.2), a consequence of which is the first of equalities (1.8).

The requirements that the entropy should be non-decreasing must be satisfied on the shock waves

$$
\begin{equation*}
S-S_{0} \geq 0 \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and also evolution conditions, which impose limitations on the relation between the velocity $W$ of the jump and the velocities $c_{\alpha}^{ \pm}$of small perturbations. For waves travelling in the positive direction of the $x$ axis, the evolution conditions for quasi-transverse shock waves take the form of two systems of inequalities, corresponding to two types of waves


Fig. 1


Fig. 2
a) $c_{1}^{-} \leq W \leq c_{2}^{-}, \quad 0<W \leq c_{1}^{+} \quad$ for slow waves
b) $c_{2}^{-} \leq W, \quad c_{1}^{+} \leq W \leq c_{2}^{+} \quad$ for fast waves

Here $c_{\alpha}^{-}$and $c_{\alpha}^{+}(\alpha=1,2)$ are the velocities of small perturbations in front of and behind the discontinuity.

The simultaneous satisfaction of conditions (1.9) and (1.10) distinguish sections on the shock adiabatic curve (1.7), which can be used to construct solutions (the evolution sections). In Fig. 1 the thick curve shows the shock adiabatic curve of the zeroth approximation in the plane of shear deformations ( $u_{1}$, $u_{2}$ ). The state in front of the discontinuity is represented by the point $A$ with coordinates ( $U_{1}, U_{2}$ ), and the dashed curve is a circle on which according to the first equality of (1.8) $S=S_{0}$, where $S \geqslant S_{0}$ inside the circle for media with $\kappa=0$, and outside the circle for media with $\kappa<0$. The letters $F, K, E, J, D$, $L$ and $H$ on the shock adiabatic curve indicate the position of the Jouguet points (at which $W=c_{\alpha}^{ \pm}$), which are the boundaries of the evolution sections. Note that both the form of the shock adiabatic curve
and the position of the Jouguet points on it do not depend on the sign of к. However, to fix our ideas, we will henceforth assume that $\kappa>0$.

In Fig. 2 we show a sample graph of the velocity of the jump $W_{0}$ in a medium with $\kappa>0$ as a function of a certain parameter $l$, which varies monotonically along the shock adiabatic curve, for the zeroth approximation. The Jouguet points $F, K, D$ and $L$, for the state in front of the discontinuity, lie at the intersection of the graph $W_{0}(1)$ with the horizontal lines $\bar{c}_{1}$ and $\bar{c}_{2}$. The extremum points of the velocity $E, J$ and $H$ are the Jouguet points for the state behind the discontinuity. The function $S(l)$ also has an extremum at the these points $[2,4,5]$. The corresponding points are denoted by the same letters in Figs 1 and 2. The two points in Fig. 2, representing the initial state, correspond to the point $A$ in Fig. 1.

## 2. THE FIRST APPROXIMATION, GENERAL FORMULAE

We will now obtain a refinement of the form of the shock adiabatic curve and the positions of the Jouguet points on it for a more complete representation of the elastic potential, while continuing to assume that the deformations are not too large. The problem consists of taking into account the next terms of the expansion of the elastic potential of the medium $\Phi\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}, S\right)$ compared with those written in relation (1.4). We will put

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi=\Phi^{0}+\Phi^{1}, \quad \Phi^{1} \ll \Phi^{0} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The function $\Phi^{0}$ is defined by equality (1.4), while $\Phi^{1}$ represents small corrections, the effect of which it is required to take into account. We will assume that the strong inequality between $\Phi^{0}$ and $\Phi^{1}$, postulated in (2.1), is also satisfied for the first and second derivatives of these functions.

To investigate the projection of the shock adiabatic curve onto the $u_{i}, u_{2}$ plane, we used the third $(i=3)$ equation of $(1.1)$ to eliminate $\left[u_{3}\right]$ from the first two. We will write this equation in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[u_{3}\right]+\frac{b}{d-f}\left[r^{2}\right]=q_{3}, \quad q_{3}=\frac{b\left(\rho_{0} W^{2}-f\right)}{(d-f)^{2}}\left[r^{2}\right]-\frac{1}{d-f}\left[\frac{\partial \Phi^{1}}{\partial u_{2}}\right] \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first two $(i=1,2)$ equations of (1.1) are given in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\frac{\partial H}{\partial u_{\alpha}}\right]-\rho_{0} W^{2}\left[u_{\alpha}\right]=q_{\alpha}, \quad q_{\alpha}=-b u_{\alpha} q_{3}-\left[\frac{\partial \Phi^{(1)}}{\partial u_{\alpha}}\right], \quad \alpha=1,2 \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The function $H$ is represented by equality (1.6). The left-hand sides of Eqs (2.2) and (2.3) correspond to the zeroth approximation. The right-hand sides arise from adding $\Phi^{1}$ to the function $\Phi^{0}$, and are assumed to be small. Instead of $\left[u_{3}\right]$ and $[S]$ on the right-hand sides we must substitute expressions (1.5) and (1.8). In this case $q_{\alpha}$ are functions of the initial state $U_{1}, U_{2}, U_{3}$ and $S_{0}$, and also of the coordinates $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$, which specify the state behind the discontinuity. In view of the smallness of $q_{\alpha}$, the quantities $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ in these expressions can be taken from the unperturbed shock adiabatic curve. When investigating the shock adiabatic curve, with the state in front of the discontinuity being given, $q_{\alpha}$ are functions of the variables $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$, representing the state behind discontinuity.

Using the smallness of $q_{c}$, we can linearize the left-hand sides of Eqs (2.3). We obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(H_{\alpha \beta}-\rho_{0} W^{2} \delta_{\alpha \beta}\right) \delta u_{\beta}=\rho_{0}\left[u_{\alpha}\right] \delta W^{2}+q_{\alpha}, \quad \alpha, \beta=1,2 \\
& H_{\alpha \beta}=\partial^{2} H / \partial u_{\alpha} \partial u_{\beta} \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Here $\delta u_{\alpha}$ are the changes in $u_{\alpha}$ which occur due to the fact that the right-hand sides of Eqs (2.3) are non-zero, and $\delta W$ are the changes in the velocity of the shock wave, which are assumed to be a small arbitrary quantity. All the quantities, not indicated by the symbol $\delta$, are assumed to be known from the zeroth approximation.

The position and form of the shock adiabatic curve in the $u_{1}, u_{2}$ plane for the refined model can be represented using the displacement vector $\delta \mathbf{u}$ of points of the shock adiabatic curve of the zeroth approximation. The displacement of the points $\delta \mathbf{u}$ can be considered as the sum of two vectors $\delta \mathbf{u}^{(1)}+\delta \mathbf{u}^{(2)}$ which originate from the two terms on the right-hand side of Eq. (2.4).

If we put $q_{\alpha}=0$ in these equations, the difference $\delta u_{\alpha}$ from zero is due solely to the change in $W^{2}$ along the shock adiabatic curve of the zeroth approximation. In this case the vector $\delta \mathbf{u}=\delta \mathbf{u}^{(1)}$ turns out to be tangential to this shock adiabatic curve, and its components $\delta u_{\alpha}^{(1)}$ are found from the equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(H_{\alpha \beta}-\rho_{0} W^{2} \delta_{\alpha \beta}\right) \delta u_{\beta}^{(1)}=\rho_{0}\left[u_{\alpha}\right] \delta W^{2} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\delta W^{2}=0$, we obtain $\delta \mathbf{u}=\delta \mathbf{u}^{(2)}$. The displacement $\delta \mathbf{u}_{i}^{(2)}$ of the points of the shock adiabatic curve, corresponding to the same values of $W$ (i.e. when $\delta W^{2}=0$ ), can be found from the equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(H_{\alpha \beta}-W^{2} \delta_{\alpha \beta}\right) \delta u_{\beta}^{(2)}=q_{\alpha}, \quad \alpha=1,2 \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Eqs (2.4)-(2.6) it is convenient to change to a system of coordinates $v_{i}$ with origin at the point of the shock adiabatic curve considered, and with axes parallel to the eigenvectors of the matrix $H_{\alpha \beta}$ at this point (which touch the intcgral curves of the Ricmann waves). Here the components of the vectors $\left[u_{\alpha}\right], \delta u_{\alpha}, q_{\alpha}$ are subject to an orthogonal transformation and will be denoted by $\left[v_{\alpha}\right], v_{\alpha}, h_{\alpha}$ respectively. The matrix $H_{\alpha \beta}$ after the transformation becomes a diagonal matrix with squares of the characteristic velocities $c_{1}^{2}$ and $c_{2}^{2}$ along the principal diagonal. Equations (2.4) take the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(c_{(\alpha)}^{2}-W^{2}\right) \delta v_{\alpha}=\left[v_{\alpha}\right] \delta W^{2}+h_{\alpha}, \quad \alpha=1,2 \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, on the left-hand side, the number $\alpha$ on the characteristic velocity is taken in brackets in order to emphasize that summation is not carried out with respect to this subscript.

We obtain the vector $\delta \mathbf{u}^{(1)}$, tangential to the shock adiabatic curve, when $h_{1}=h_{2}=0$. Its components $v_{\alpha}^{(1)}$ in the new system of coordinates are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{\alpha}=v_{\alpha}^{(1)}=\frac{\left[v_{\alpha}\right]}{c_{\alpha}^{2}-W^{2}} \delta W^{2}, \quad \alpha=1,2 \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $\delta W^{2}=0$, we obtain the components $v_{\alpha}^{(2)}$ o the vector $\delta \mathbf{u}^{(2)}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{\alpha}^{(2)}=\frac{h_{\alpha}}{c_{\alpha}^{2}-W^{2}}, \quad \alpha=1,2 \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

At the Jouguet points, where $W=c_{\alpha}$ ( $c_{\alpha}$ is the characteristic velocity behind the discontinuity), $W$ reaches an extremum. If $\delta W^{2}$ is assumed to be proportional to the change in $W^{2}$ along a certain length $d l$ of the shock adiabatic curve, then $c_{\alpha}^{2}-W^{2}$ and $\delta W^{2}$ will simultaneously change sign on passing through a Jouguet point. We can normalize the tangential vector $\tau$ so that $|\tau|=1$, by choosing an appropriate value of $\left|\delta W^{2}\right|$ (which will not be small when far from the Jouguet points).

In order to investigate on what side of the shock adiabatic curve of the zeroth approximation the refined shock adiabatic curve lies, we will write the vector product of the vectors (2.9) and (2.8) (which is directed along the $u_{3}$ axis)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathbf{v}^{(2)} \times \tau\right)_{3}=(\mathbf{h} \times[\mathbf{v}])_{3} \frac{\delta W^{2}}{\left(c_{1}^{2}-W^{2}\right)\left(c_{2}^{2}-W^{2}\right)} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we assume that the sign of $\delta W^{2}$ is the same as the sign of the increment of $W^{2}$ when one moves along the shock adiabatic curve in a certain direction, then, according to the above, the sign of the coefficient of the vector product of the vectors $h$ and $\mathbf{v}$ will not change on crossing Jouguet points. Hence, the sign of the vector product (2.10) is determined by the sign of the vector product $\mathbf{h} \times[\mathbf{v}]$. The latter can also be written in the original system of coordinates

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{0}(\mathbf{h} \times[\mathbf{v}])_{3}=(\mathbf{q} \times[\mathbf{u}])_{3}=q_{1}\left[u_{2}\right]-q_{2}\left[u_{1}\right] \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Expression (2.11) vanishes at points of intersection of the "old" and refined shock adiabatic curves.

We will obtain the change in the position of the Jouguet point. This important since the Jouguet points are the ends of the evolution sections of the shock adiabatic curve. If the Jouguet condition is satisfied in front of the discontinuity, i.e. $W^{2}=\left(c_{\alpha}^{-}\right)^{2}$, we can separately calculate the change $\delta\left(c_{\alpha}^{-}\right)^{2}$, while $\delta u_{\beta}$ for the Jouguet points will be found from Eq. (2.4), where we put $\delta W^{2}=\delta\left(c_{\alpha}^{-}\right)^{2}$. In the variable $v_{\alpha}$ the result can be represented in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{\alpha}=\frac{h_{\alpha}+\left[v_{\alpha}\right] \delta\left(c_{\alpha}^{-}\right)^{2}}{c_{\alpha}^{2}-W^{2}} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will now consider the changes in the shock adiabatic curve near the Jouguet points, at which $W$ reaches its extremum values $W=c_{\alpha}^{+}=c_{\alpha}$, and also the change in the position of the Jouguet point itself. Eliminating $W^{2}$ from Eqs (2.3), we obtain a new equation of the shock adiabatic curve

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{F}_{0}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)=P, \quad P=\left(q_{1}\left[u_{2}\right]-q_{2}\left[u_{1}\right]\right) / \kappa \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and a new relation for $W^{2}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{0} W^{2}-f+D_{0}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)=Q, \quad Q=\rho_{0} \frac{q_{1}\left[u_{1}\right]-q_{2}\left[u_{2}\right]}{\left[u_{1}\right]^{2}+\left[u_{2}\right]^{2}} \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations (2.13) and (2.14) only differ in their right-hand sides from the corresponding equations of the zeroth approximation.

At the Jouguet point of the zeroth approximation with respect to the state behind the discontinuity, where $W_{0}=c_{0}$, the shock adiabatic curve touches the integral Ricmann wave curve, and hence the coordinate axes $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ introduced above are directed along the tangent and the normal to the shock adiabatic curve at this point, respectively. Since at the Jouguet point considered $W_{0}$ has an extremum, the derivative of the function $D_{0}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)$ with respect to $v_{1}$ is equal to zero. This enables us, in the neighbourhood of a Jouguet point of the zeroth approximation, to represent the equation of the shock adiabatic curve (1.7) and the expression for the velocity of the discontinuity respectively in the form

$$
A v_{2}+\frac{1}{2} B v_{1}^{2}=0, \quad \rho_{0} W^{2}-\rho_{0} W_{*}^{2}=a v_{2}+\frac{1}{2} b v_{1}^{2}
$$

Here, $A, B$ and $a, b$ are the values of the corresponding derivatives of the functions $\mathscr{F}_{0}$ and $D_{0}$, and $W_{*}$, is the value of the velocity at the Jouguet point in the zeroth approximation. In this case Eqs (2.13) and (2.14), which take small additional terms into account, will have the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& A v_{2}+\frac{1}{2} B v_{1}^{2}+C v_{1}+P=0, \quad \rho_{0} W^{2}-\rho_{0} W_{*}^{2}=a v_{2}+\frac{1}{2} b v_{1}^{2}+c v_{1}+Q  \tag{2.15}\\
& C=\partial P / \partial v_{1}, \quad c=\partial Q / \partial v_{1}
\end{align*}
$$

The quantities $C, c, P$ and $Q$ are small quantities, taken at the Jouguet point of the zeroth approximation. Coefficients, which are non-zero in the zeroth approximation, but which are not small, remain without change. Expressing $v_{2}$ from the first equation of (2.15) and substituting into the second, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& v_{2}=-\frac{1}{A}\left(P+\frac{1}{2} B v_{1}^{2}+C v_{1}\right) \\
& \rho_{0} W^{2}-\rho_{0} W_{*}^{2}=Q-\frac{a P}{A}+\left(c-\frac{a}{A} C\right) v_{1}+\frac{1}{2}\left(b-\frac{a}{A} B\right) v_{1}^{2} \tag{2.16}
\end{align*}
$$

The first equation of (2.16) is a more accurate equation of the shock adiabatic curve, while the second gives the values of $W$ in it. The position of the Jouguet point corresponds to the extremum of $W^{2}$. Its coordinates in the approximation used are

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{2}=-\frac{P}{A}, \quad v_{1}=-\frac{c A-C a}{b A-B a} \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. THE EFFECT OF A CHANGE IN ENTROPY

In the non-linear theory of elasticity, a model is often used [6] in which, in addition to the zeroth approximation employed above in the expression for the elastic potential $\Phi$, terms with products of the deformations and the change in entropy and also a term with a quadratic change in the entropy are taken into account, i.e.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Phi=\Phi^{0}+\Phi_{1}, \quad \Phi_{1}=\rho_{0} T_{0}\left(\gamma_{1} I_{1}+\gamma_{2} I_{2}\right)\left(S-S_{0}\right)+\beta T_{0}\left(S-S_{0}\right)^{2}  \tag{3.1}\\
& I_{1}=\varepsilon_{i i}, \quad I_{2}=\varepsilon_{i j} \varepsilon_{i j}, \quad \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \beta-\mathrm{const}
\end{align*}
$$

Such a refinement, for example, is required for rubber-type materials, in which the elastic deformations due to a change in entropy (temperature), may turn out to be comparable with the deformations from external forces [7]. At the same time, the incompressibility condition is satisfied for similar materials, which is also used below. For one-dimensional motions, the incompressibility condition reduces to the equality $u_{3}=$ const $=0$, so that there are no longitudinal waves. In this case each of the invariants $I_{1}$ and $I_{2}$ of the deformation tensor, with the relative accuracy assumed, is proportional to the expression $r^{2}=u_{1}^{2}+u_{2}^{2}$. Hence, the elastic potential of the zeroth approximation can be represented by expression (1.6), while the refining correction $\Phi_{1}$ has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{1}=\rho_{0} T_{0} \gamma r^{2}\left(S-S_{0}\right)+\beta\left(S-S_{0}\right)^{2} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

To clarify the meaning of the coefficients we note that the thermodynamic identity $T=\partial U / \partial S$ (where $U=\Phi / \rho_{0}$ ), using expressions (3.1) and (3.2), gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=T_{0}\left[1+\gamma r^{2}\right]+2 \beta\left(S-S_{0}\right) / \rho_{0} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

It can be seen from this equality that in an adiabatic process ( $S=$ const) the quantity $\gamma$ determines the dependence of the temperature on the formation, and also the temperature dependence of the stresses, since $\partial \Phi / \partial u_{i}$ are the stresses on an area normal to the $x$ axis. We will further assume that $\gamma>0$, which is observed in rubber at fairly low temperatures. Since, for constant deformation, the heat flux $d Q=c_{u} d T$, where $c_{u}$ is the heat capacity where $u_{i}=$ const, and $d Q=T d S$, we obtain $d T=\left(T / c_{u}\right) d S$. On the other hand, differentiating Eq. (3.3) with $u_{i}=$ const, we obtain $d T=\left(2 \beta / \rho_{0}\right) d S$, whence it can be seen that the coefficient $\beta$ is determined by the heat capacity for constant deformation

$$
\beta=\rho_{0} T_{0} /\left(2 c_{u}\right)
$$

Obviously $\beta>0$.
For the model employed, linearization about the zeroth approximation enables the shock adiabatic curve to be obtained as well as refining corrections to the velocity of the jump $W$ and to the jump in entropy $S-S_{0}$ in explicit form.

In the case considered

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{\alpha}=-2 \gamma \rho_{0} T_{0}\left(S-S_{0}\right) u_{\alpha}, \quad \alpha=1,2 \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and Eqs (2.3) take the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\partial H / \partial u_{\alpha}\right]-\rho_{0} W^{2}\left(u_{\alpha}-U_{\alpha}\right)=-2 \gamma \rho_{0} T_{0}\left(S-S_{0}\right) u_{\alpha}, \quad \alpha=1,2 \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eliminating $W$, we obtain the equation of the shock adiabatic curve (2.13), in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{F}_{0}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)=P, \quad P=2 \gamma \rho_{0} T_{0} \kappa^{-1}\left(S-S_{0}\right)\left(u_{1}\left[u_{2}\right]-u_{2}\left[u_{1}\right]\right) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Unlike the zeroth approximation, in which $\mathscr{F}_{0}=0$, the form of the shock adiabatic curve now also depends on the change in entropy. For the quantity $S-S_{0}$ on the right-hand side of Eq . (3.6) we can use expression (1.8) from the zeroth approximation. We obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=-1 / 2 \gamma \tilde{u}^{2}\left(r^{2}-R^{2}\right)\left(U_{1} u_{2}-U_{2} u_{1}\right) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the refined shock adiabatic curve is represented by the dependence on only the shear deformations $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{F} \equiv \mathscr{F}_{0}-P=0 \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is shown by the thin curve in the same phase plane $u_{1}, u_{2}$ in Fig. 1 . Both curves $\mathscr{F}_{0}=0$ and $\mathscr{F}=0$, constructed for the initial state $U_{1}, U_{2}$, have the same asymptote and intersect one another at points which are symmetrical with respect to the initial point about the $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ axes. The mutual position of the two curves can be explained using the general rules of Section 2, But, for this special case, when the explicit form of the equations of both curves is known, it is easier to do this by comparing the mutual position of the points of intersection of these curves with the coordinate axes $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$. Here we note that when $\gamma / \kappa<0$, the new shock adiabatic curve has additional branches, but they are outside the limits due to the assumption that $u_{\mathrm{C}}$ is small.

The velocity $W$ of the discontinuity can be found from the conditions on the discontinuity (3.5)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \rho_{0} W^{2}=\rho_{0} W_{0}^{2}+Q \\
& Q=2 \gamma \rho_{0} T_{0}\left(S-S_{0}\right)\left\{u_{1}\left(u_{1}-U_{1}\right)+u_{2}\left(u_{2}-U_{2}\right)\right\} / \tilde{u}^{2} \tag{3.9}
\end{align*}
$$

To calculate the change in entropy on the jump we have the equation

$$
\rho_{0} T_{0}\left(S-S_{0}\right)+1 / 4 \kappa\left(r^{2}-R^{2}\right) \tilde{u}^{2}=-\gamma \rho_{0} T_{0}\left(S-S_{0}\right)\left(U_{1} u_{1}+U_{2} u_{2}\right)+\beta\left(S-S_{0}\right)^{2}
$$

When the left-hand side is equal to zero, this corresponds to the zeroth approximation (1.8), and the right-hand side corresponds to the refining corrections. Linearization with respect to $S-S_{0}$ gives a more accurate expression for the change in entropy in the shock wave

$$
\begin{equation*}
S-S_{0}=-\frac{\kappa}{4 T_{0} \rho_{0}}\left(r^{2}-R^{2}\right) \tilde{u}^{2}\left\{1-\frac{\gamma \rho_{0}}{\kappa}\left(U_{1} u_{1}+U_{2} u_{2}\right)\right\} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

As also in the zeroth approximation, $S=S_{0}$ on the circle passing through the initial point ( $U_{1}, U_{2}$ ), with centre at the origin of coordinates (it is represented by the dashed curve in Fig. 1). In the region of variation of $u_{\alpha}$ assumed, the expression in braces in (3.10) does not change sign, and the states behind the discontinuity satisfy the condition for the entropy to increase. These states lie inside the entropy circle $r^{2}=R^{2}$ for a medium with $\kappa>0$ and outside this circle for media with $\kappa<0$. The coefficient $\beta$ does not occur in the expression for $S-S_{0}$.

The temperature behind the jump is found from the condition $T=(\delta \Phi / \partial S)_{u_{\alpha}}=$ const- We have

$$
\frac{T-T_{0}}{T_{0}}=\gamma\left(r^{2}-R^{2}\right)+2 \beta\left(S-S_{0}\right)=\kappa\left(r^{2}-R^{2}\right)\left(\gamma \rho_{0} / \kappa-\beta \tilde{u}^{2}\right)
$$

The term with $\gamma$ corresponds to the adiabatic change in temperature, while the term with $\beta$ corresponds to the change in temperature due to the jump in entropy.

For the evolution sections on the shock adiabatic curve, defined by conditions (1.10), we will investigate how their ends - the Jouguet points $F, K, L$ and $D$, are shifted in the state in front of the jump ( $W=c_{\alpha}^{-}$) (Fig. 1) with respect to their position in the zeroth approximation. Since $\delta c_{\alpha}^{-}=0$ in the case considered, when finding the displacement $v_{\alpha}$ of these Jouguet points we must assume $\delta W^{2}=0$ and use formulae (2.9). We recall that the components $\left\{v_{x}\right\}$ are measured from the point considered on the zeroth shock adiabatic curve along the tangent to the integral curve of the Riemann waves passing through this point. Two families of integral curves are represented by ovals, symmetrical about the $u_{1}, u_{2}$ axes, elongated along the $u_{2}$ axis, and the lines, orthogonal to them, and converting into rays at infinity [8]. As can be seen from formulae (3.4), the vector $\dot{\boldsymbol{q}}=\left\{q_{\alpha}\right\}$ is directed along the radius vector $u_{\alpha}$ on the side of the origin of coordinates (since we have assumed $\gamma>0$ ). Knowing the orientation of the vector $q$ with respect to the $v_{\alpha}$ axis, we can state the signs of the components $h_{\alpha}$ in formula (2.9). The signs of the denominator in this formula are determined by the evolution conditions (1.10). It follows from these that [2]

$$
\begin{equation*}
W^{F}<c_{1}<c_{2}, \quad c_{1}<W^{K}<c_{2}, \quad c_{1}<c_{2}<W^{L, D} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$
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We will show, as an example, how one can determine the direction of the displacement, i.e. the signs of the components $v_{\alpha}$ for Jouguet point $F$, representing the end of the evolution section of slow shock waves when $\kappa>0$. In Fig. 3 we show, on an enlarged scale, the neighbourhood of the point $F$ of the $u_{1}$, $u_{2}$ plane (Fig. 1). As before, the thick curve represents the part of the shock adiabatic curve of the zeroth approximation, the thin curve represents part of the refined shock adiabatic curve, while the dashed lines represent the elements of the integral curves of the Riemann waves, along the tangent to which at the point $F$ the coordinate axes $v_{\alpha}$ are directed. Obviously, at the point $F$ the vector $\mathbf{q}$ has components $h_{1}^{F}<0, h_{2}^{F}<0$. Taking into account the signs of the numerators in (2.9), in accordance with inequalities (3.11), we obtain $\boldsymbol{v}_{1}^{F}<0, v_{2}^{F}<0$, which defines qualitatively the position of the point $F^{\prime}$ on the refined shock adiabatic curve. Similarly, we obtain for the other Jouguet points

$$
h_{1}^{K}<0, \quad h_{2}^{K}>0, \quad h_{1}^{L}<0, \quad h_{2}^{L}>0, \quad h_{1}^{D}<0, \quad h_{2}^{D}<0
$$

The displaced points $K^{\prime}, L^{\prime}$ and $D^{\prime}$ are indicated in Fig. 1. The position of these Jouguet points in the zeroth approximation and in the refined case can also be found numerically, using $W=c_{\alpha}$ to determine them. The expression for $W$ is given by formula (3.9). The characteristic velocities are found by the standard method [1]

$$
c_{1,2}^{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\Phi_{11}+\Phi_{22}\right) \pm \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\left(\Phi_{11}-\Phi_{22}\right)^{2}+4 \Phi_{12}}, \quad \Phi_{\alpha \beta}=\frac{\partial^{2} \Phi}{\partial u_{\alpha} \partial u_{\beta}}
$$

For the zeroth approximation

$$
\rho_{0}\left(c_{1,2}^{2}\right)^{0}=f-\kappa\left(2\left(u_{1}^{2}+u_{2}^{2}\right) \pm \sqrt{\left(u_{1}^{2}-u_{2}^{2}+g\right)^{2}+4 u_{1}^{2} u_{2}^{2}}\right)
$$

For the additional term $\Phi^{1}$ of the elastic potential we have

$$
\Phi_{11}^{1}=\Phi_{22}^{1}=2 \gamma \rho_{0} T_{0}\left(S-S_{0}\right), \quad \Phi_{12}^{1}=0
$$

and, consequently, the refined expressions for the characteristic velocities have the form

$$
c_{\alpha}^{-}=\left(c_{\alpha}^{-}\right)^{0}, \quad c_{\alpha}^{2}=\left(c_{\alpha}^{2}\right)^{2}+2 \gamma \rho_{0} T_{0}\left(S-S_{0}\right)
$$

In the phase plane $u_{1}, u_{2}$, the intersection of the shock adiabatic curve $\mathscr{F}_{0}=0$ with the line $W^{0}=c_{2}^{-}$ gives the Jourguet points $F, K$ and $D$, while the intersection with the line $W^{0}=\overline{c_{1}^{-}}$gives the point $L$. The new positions of the corresponding points $F^{\prime}, K^{\prime}, D^{\prime}$ and $L^{\prime}$ are found from the intersection of the shock adiabatic curve $\mathscr{F}=0$ with the lines $W=c_{\alpha}^{-}(\alpha=1,2)$. Numerical results confirm the qualitative conclusions reached above.

It is extremely difficult to investigate the displacements of the Jouguet points $E, J$ and $H$ with respect to the state behind the jump ( $W=c_{\alpha}^{+}$) using formulae (2.15)-(2.17). Hence, for these points we immediately use the numerical method proposed above. The points $E^{\prime}, J^{\prime}$ and $H^{\prime}$ obtained in this way are shown in Fig. 1.
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